*Previous references.*

1902
(Sep. Rev

File No. 237 a/0
Serial Nos. 1–7

*Later references.*

S+A. 273–03
G. 7. 455–11

✓

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.* 1–7

Major ~~Part~~ B. for May 1904

---

SUBJECT

A Consolidated pay of Rs 1400/- given to Mr. P. N. Purnaiya

---

Sl 1. To The Comptroller
P N Purnaiya
No 36-37
Ex 7. 26 of 97 Dt 4-7-02

States that Mr Purnaiya Examiner and I G of Registration, who has been in charge of the combined offices above for some time may be given a Consolidated pay of Rs 1400

G. R. S. 58 ~~G.P.S. 1,000—1-7-11~~

Sl 2 From The Comptroller

No 179/2319 Dt 9-7-02

Requests to be informed as to the date of consolidated pay to be drawn taking effect

Sl 3. To The Ex Comr
The Comptroller
No 100-104/Ex 7.2 & 99 22-7-02

States that effect should be given from the date of the order

Sl 4. From The Comptroller
No 3583 26-12-02

Requests to be informed in what proportion the above pay is to be debited to each of the Excise and Regn Deptts

Sl 5 To The Comptroller
The Ex Comr
The I G. of Registration
No 360-62/Ex 7.2 & 99 24.2.03

States that Rs 1200/- may be debited to the Ex Deptt and the balance of Rs 2000/- to the Regn Department

Sl 6 From The Ex bower
No 730 Dt 3-3-03

Submits a bill for Rs 2 912-11-0
being the allowance due from
17th April 1901 to 4th July 02

Sl 7 To The Comptroller
The I G of Registration
No 71 3084-85 12-5-04

Sanctions the above bill and
forwards it to the Comptroller
for audit

T. V. Ramaswamy Iyengar

Countermarked

1

EXTRACT FROM THE JOURNAL OF THE 277th MEETING
OF THE COUNCIL

DATED 28th June 1902 SECTION S R

237 apr 02

FILE NO. ~~7204 1904~~

SERIAL NO. ~~4~~

RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:—

I That Mr. P N Divanaya, B.A., Excise Commr. and Inspector General of Registration in Mysore, who has been holding the charge of the combined offices above mentioned from the 14th April 1901 be given a consolidated pay of Rs. 1400 per mensem.

(Signed) P. N. Krishnamurti
Dewan-President

To
The General Branch (Excise)

Rec'd 6 Mn.
7/7/02

3d July 1902

Offg Secy

MGCPB—2-5-01—500.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(1)

**(Full Office Note.)**

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

**Subject.**

Letter No. 730 dated 3rd March 1903 from the Inspector General of Registration in Mysore Submitting for sanction a bill for Rs 2912-11-0 being the allowances due to him for being in charge of the Registration Department

A

**Note.**

A
Sl. no. 12 of
9734 of 1900

In Govt Notification No. 13337 / Mis: 1498 dated the 9th April 1901 the Excise Commissioner was in addition to his own duties appointed Inspector General of Registration and Registrar of joint stock Companies in Mysore In accordance with the above order Mr P N Purnaiya took charge of the office on the afternoon of the 16th April 1901, but did not draw the allowance of Rs 200 attached to the appointment In lieu thereof he was given a consolidated pay of Rs 1400 per mensem (vide Govt Pro: No. 36-37 / Ex. 726-97 dated 4th July 1902) of

1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

Sl. no 2038 of
98:7'26.
Fine B

which Rs 1200 was ordered to be debited to Excise Department and Rs 200 to the Registration Department (vide Govt Proceedings No. 360-62 Ex. 726-97 dated 24th February 1903) He now claims a sum of Rs 2912-11-0 being the allowances due to him from 17th April 1901 up to 4th July 1902 the date on which a consolidated pay of Rs 1400 was sanctioned to him

No provision has been made under the head "Allowance to Inspector General" in the Registration Budget of the Current official year. The other sources, if any, from which the above charge may be met, have not also been pointed out

Submitted for orders

SN
16-3-03

Submitted
16/3/03

C C

Please put up files about the increase of Pay to Mr Purna + allowance to D G of Regn (Mr Sreenivasachariar's)

16/3/3

Let me see the Council Papers (original & not-mere Resolutions) under which Mr Pranayya was abbointed Dy Lr of Regn in April 1901 & his pay was raised to Rs 1400 in July 1902 & if any not-mio be taken, there must be some notes and minutes on the subject

11/4/03

All the available papers have been put up

17/4/3

Submitted
Except the Council Resolutions (original) there are no other papers in the Council Branch -

15/4/03 (Vents)

The 2nd Councillor

Verified Council Resolutions of 6th April 1901 & July 1902 are put up

18/4/03

Mr Pranayya was abbointed Dy Lr of Registration by a Resolution of Council dated 6/4/01 - & then proposed that he should be given the usual allowance of Rs 200 for the extra work. The Dewan reported that the question should be reserved for further consideration & subsequently there was I believe some demi official correspondence regarding the

J.213
18-4-03

I am glad I remembered then & called for the original Resolutions which show what was intended -

19/4/03

Detached & kept in the Council Section -

Pramanjya Jay as Excise Commissioner cum Inspector General of Registration. At the Council Meeting of the 28th June 1902 it was resolved that his pay should be raised from Rs 1200 to Rs 1400 when the draft resolution was sent me for revision I added the words "from the 17th April 1901" which would fix the date from which the increase should be given but the Secretary of State however ordered the increase to be from the date of the order. I made a marginal note "Let the order have effect from the date fixed - If any alteration is wanted hereafter he will see about it - If the question is raised" of course as part of his pay, at least as an allowance, the Pramanjya should I think be given for Registration work a remuneration of Rs 200 from the date on which he assumed charge to the date on which his pay was raised and consolidated It was never intended that during that period he should do the additional work without remuneration - I may add however that he is wrong in stating as an authority for his claim the order of Govt dated the 24th February last - which refers only to appointment for account purposes

1902 copy attached 19/11/03

The question from whether Jay was increased was subsequently

Note.

Mr. Puraniyya was appointed Inspector General of Registration by a Resolution of Council dated 6.4.1901. I then proposed that he should be given the usual allowance of Rs. 200 for this extra work. The Dewan preferred that this question should be reserved for further consideration. Subsequently there was, I believe, some demi official correspondence regarding Mr. Purnaiyya's pay as Excise Commissioner cum Inspector General of Registration. At the Council Meeting of 28th. June 1902, it was resolved that his pay should be raised from Rs. 1200 to Rs. 1400. When the draft resolu--tion was sent to me for revision, I added the words "from the 17th. April 1901" this having been what was intended. The Dewan, however, ordered the excision of those words with a marginal note "let the order have effect from the date of it". If any alteration is wanted hereafter, we will see about it if the question is raised". If not as part of his pay, at least as an allowance, Mr. Purnaiyya should, I think be given for Registration work a remuneration of Rs. 200 from the date on which he assumed charge to the date on which his pay was raised and consolidated. It was never intended that during that period he should do this additional work without remuneration. I may add, however that he is wrong in quoting as an authority for his claim the order of Govt. dated the 24th. Feb. last which refers only to ~~the~~ a apportionment for account purposes from the date on which increased pay was sanctioned.

"Signed" C.Sreenivasiengar.

19.4.1903

10

11

**Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.**

22.11.03
1169

## *Subject.*

Arrears of allowances payable to the Inspector General of Registration for being in charge of the Department from 17th April 1901 to 4th July 1902.

## *Order.*

DATE 1.12.03
NO 1299

This is a Scheduled Case under Cl (iii) and I think Cl (v) of the Schedule & should be treated accordingly. I do not understand why the Excise Commr did not raise the question earlier

KRW
24/11/03

X

vide for opinion by circulation
Encl. Memo
21 x 11.03

1/12/03

C. 167

# Council matter for disposal by Circulation.

*Subject.*

Arrears of allowance of Rs. 200 a month claimed by the Excise Commr for being in charge of the Registration Department from 17th April 1901 to 4th July 1902

*Circulated to Councillors.*

By Order,

2/12/1903

for Secretary.

| Name | Remarks by the Councillor. |
|---|---|
| V. P. Madhava Rao, Esq., C.I.E., First Councillor.<br>Sent on 2/12/03<br>Received on 4 DEC 1903<br>Camp Bobbamyala<br>C 145 | I find that the 2nd Councillor's note is dated 19th April 1903 & the papers were submitted to His Highness on 20th Nov 1903. I find that the Resolution sanctioning an allowance to Mr Purnaya for Regn work was adopted in Council when I was away on special duty. I do not know the circumstances why the back pay was not sanctioned then as the recommended by the 2nd Councillor as stated in his note. This is moreover a delicate personal question. May I therefore venture to suggest to the Dewan that he would be pleased to bring it up before a meeting |
| C. Sreenivasiengar, Esq., Second Councillor.<br>Sent on 9/12/3<br>Received on 11-12-03<br>Camp. Hole-Narsipur.<br>C 173. | |

MGCPB—500—8.6.03.

K.V.R.
8/12/1903

I have already recorded my opinion - that Purnaiya was in hopes of his ~~[illegible]~~ being given from the com-mencement a consolidated pay of Rs 1400, instead of Rs 1200 + an allowance of Rs 200 - It was some considerable time before his hopes were realized - Till then he drew neither an enhanced pay nor an allowance - When ultimately it was decided that he was to get a consolidated pay of Rs 1400, he should at once have asked that retrospective effect be given to the arrangement - or that he be permitted to draw the allowance of Rs 200 between the date of the first and the second orders - He seems to have misunderstood the deliberation - but his claim tho' belated is, in my humble opinion as pointed out in my note of 19/11/03 entitled to favorable consideration - The claim was I submit be allowed -

12/12/03

Kole Narayan -

Submitted to the Diwan.

16/12/3

14728
17.12.03

*Submitted to His Highness.*

The case is re-submitted for His Highness' further consideration. The question of granting an allowance to an officer of Government is not a Scheduled matter and in practice has not been treated as such. It does not fall under Clause (V) of the Schedule, as the grant of an allowance is not promotion within the meaning of the Civil Service Regulations. Any other view would lead to the abolition of all distinction between allowance and promotion sharply recognised not only in practice but also by the standing rules of Government. It does not fall under any other item enumerated in Clause (V).

2. Nor can this question fall under Clause (III) which only deals with the Budget estimates or material variations thereof. The questions now raised and requiring decision are whether the claim to an allowance is at all admissible, and if so, to what extent. It is not clear to my mind how they could have been treated as a Council matter. This need not however be pursued further, as His Highness was pleased to direct that the opinion of the Council might be obtained, which opinion is now submitted.

3. I may in conclusion point out that the records show that the Inspector General of Registration was first informed that out of his pay, ₹200 was in lieu of the allowance of ₹200 which formerly used to be given by Government order No. 360-62/Ex. F. 26-97 dated 24th February '03; and he pressed his claim on 3rd March '03, that is barely within a week after

26.1.04

**Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.**

24-1-04
1901

15309
21-1-04.

## *Subject.*

Arrears of allowance at Rs.200 a month claimed by the Excise Commissioner for being in charge of the office of the Inspector General of Registration from 17th April 1901 to 4th July 1902.

## *Order.*

I regret that I am unable to agree with the Dewan's view of the case. The question, as it seems to me, is not whether an allowance which would ordinarily be granted under standing orders or otherwise, ~~xxx xxxxxxx x xxx xxxxxxxx xxxxx~~ xxx is admissible in the case of a particular officer, but whether a new allowance shall be granted to a particular officer for a particular piece of work.The Secretary's note of 8/4/3 seems to make this quite clear, and there is nothing on the file to show that that note is incorrect.I see also that the Dewan took the

same view in 1896, v. d.o. on the linked file. The statement in the G.O. of 24/2/3 to the effect that the ~~allow~~ Rs200 addition to the Excise Commr pay is in lieu of the allowance which formerly used to be given to the I.G. of Registration is, if not absolutely incorrect, at any rate misleading. The statement begs the whole question, it is unnecessary and also objectionable, for the order was intended simply for the guidance of the Comptroller on a matter of account, and has, as explained in para 3 of the Dewan's note, led to the other officer concerned putting in a further claim.

The allowance is not admissible under any standing order, nor, so far as I can see, under any article of the Mysore Service Regulations. It is therefore a new or extraordinary allowance and the case must be dealt with on its merits. It is possible that the grant of extraordinary allowances is not a Council matter, but I think it desirable that it should be treated as such, now and in future. There is nothing on the file to show what the merits of the case are, beyond a statement by the 2nd Councillor to the effect that "it

D 19

*Submitted to His Highness.*

To this is annexed a note giving a succinct history of the Office of Inspector General of Registration and the remuneration attached to it. It will be evident from its perusal that the grant of ₹200, as allowance for the duties of an Inspector General of Registration, has been the rule, and its withholdment an exception. Further, the expression "the usual allowance of ₹200" occurs in a memo prepared by Sir Sheshadri Iyer, so far back as 16th February 99. Above all, the order of Government No. 1115-24 dated 22nd January 1892, is practically a general order independent of personal considerations; for, the following quotation cannot have any other significance. Para 7 of the Government order begins thus:-

"The Inspector General's allowance was formerly fixed at ₹200 a month."

2. Thus, it will be seen that the course now recommended by the Council is not lacking in either express authority or established precedents. These features throw light on the observation of the Councillor Mr. C. Srnivasaiengar that "it was never intended that during that period he should do this additional work without remuneration". As a matter of fact, Mr. Srinivasiengar proposed to remunerate the Inspector General of Registration for past work when the draft Council Resolution dated 28.6.1902 went up to him; but this question was put off only in accordance with my suggestion.

3. In these circumstances, the erroneous and mis-

leading nature of the late Secretary's remark, dated 8th April '03, becomes obvious. It is consistent neither with the past practice of the Government, nor their declared intentions; and hence, the present difficulty.

4. It is no doubt true that on 4th February 1896, I said as follows with reference to the allowance in question:-

"The allowance for the Registration work has, so far as I have been able to judge with my present information, not been uniformly enjoyed by every officer; but has been on some occasions granted to individual officers on personal considerations." But this was only a provisional opinion as may be seen from the underlined portion of the above passage and also from the subjoined one following immediately the above quoted passage:-

"Nothing will be lost if we will have all information available on the point before deciding it finally." This is sufficiently decisive as to the character of the opinion then expressed by me. Without modifying it, I could not have, in 1899, agreed as a Councillor to the increase of pay of Mr. Sreenevasacharlu from Rs700 to Rs900 on the score of Registration work. Further, my note dated 20th February 99 read with Sir Sheshadri Iyer's confidential memo, dated 16th February 99, puts beyond all doubt that I changed my provisional opinion of 1896 and found myself in complete agreement with his views. Sir Sheshadri Iyer proposed that the withholdment of the usual allowance of Rs200 from Mr. Sreenevasacharlu should be borne in mind when assessing his pension and to express a sort of regret in an official order at the inaction on the part of the Government regarding the grant of allowance to him. My note dated 20th February 99 is quoted below with a view to show how my temporary opinion of 96 rightly under-went a radical change. The note runs thus:-

"The best solution, as far as I can judge, is that Mr. Sreenevasacharlu ma, be given the increase from the 1st of this month. The minute now drawn up by the Dewan is itself such as

-: M E M O :-

The Office of the Inspector General of Registration had at no time a whole time officer appointed to it. The work of the Department was always tacked on to the head of some other Department, with an allowance for the extra work thus devolving on him.

2. The first case of the kind was that of Mr. N. Krishnaiya, Second Judge of the Court of Small Causes. He was then styled the Registrar General and did the duties of the Registration Department, from 14th December 1865 to 14th January 1867, on which date he retired from service. He was permitted to draw an allowance of Rs200/- during the period he held the dual offices.

3. Mr. L. Ricketts was next appointed to the post, and managed the work (from 14th January 1867 to 10th November '68), in addition to his duties as First Judge of the Small Cause Court, likewise drawing this additional allowance.

4. The third officer to whom the Registration work was assigned, was Mr. H. Hudson, Deputy Accountant General in Mysore. He did this work from 10th November 1868 to 31st March 1886,

a No order in file.
Vide letter No.2 of 30-12-65 from the Registrar General to the Acting Secretary to the Commissioner.

b Order No.401 dated Fort William 27-12-66.

d Home Department Notification No.4476 of 9-11-68.

in addition to his own duties, for an extra allowance of Rs200, as in the case of his predecessors. This amount is shown as allowance to Inspector General.

Vide Civil Lists 82 - 85.

5. The Registration work was next added on to the duties of Mr. S.Venkatavardiengar, Legislative Secretary, but there are no records to show that a separate allowance was given to him for it. He held the Office from 1st April 1886 to 2nd February 1890. On account of the large amount of work connected with the revision of laws, a new Department- Legislative Department was formed, and Mr. S. Venkatavardiengar was appointed Legislative Secretary. The duties of the Inspector General of Registration and the Registrar of Companies not being sufficiently heavy to require a separate officer, they were transferred to the Legislative Secretary, on the retirement of Mr. H. Hudson who held the office of the Inspector General of Registration.

e

No.242 of 31-3-'86.

f

Administration Report for 81-82 to 85-86.

f

No.B. dated 31st March 1886.

6. Mr. C. Veenacshaiyya, Legislative Secretary, was the fifth officer that did the Registration work in addition to his duties as Legislative Secretary and Government Advocate.

g

No.310 of 1-2-90.

The Inspector General's allowance was formerly fixed by Government at Rs200/- a month. When Mr. Veenacshaiyya was appointed Legislative Secretary in addition to his duties as Government Advocate, those of the Inspector General

z

No.1115-24 dated 22-1-92.

Registration for 4 years without the allowance would be borne in mind, if required for the purpose of calculating his average emoluments for fixing his pension amount". Mr. Sreenevasacharlu's pension was liberally calculated and was fixed at Rs300, although under rules, it would have been much less.

No.13357-8/His.3505 dated 9-4-1901.

10. Thus, it will be seen that except in the case of Mr. S. Venkatvardiengar, the allowance of Rs200 has all along been considered to be a fixed remuneration for Registration work, and granted to officers performing these duties in addition to other duties. Order No.1115-24 dated 22nd January 1892 is in effect a standing order on the subject.

**Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.**

## *Subject.*

Further information relating to the arrears of allowance claimed by the Excise Commissioner for doing the duties of the Inspector-General of Registration from 17th April 1901 to 4th July 1902.

## 1735 *Order.*

I am obliged to the Dewan for the full statement of the case put up by him. On the facts as now set forth it is clear that there is full precedent for the grant of the allowance, and I sanction the payment of the arrears to the ~~Revn~~ Excise Commr. I confess that I do not read the orders of 1892 as amounting to a standing order, and to do so would, to my mind, form an undesirable precedent. I would take this opportunity to lay it down, that the Officer who for the time being performs the duties of I.G. of Registration, should receive a consolidated payof the pay attached to his main appointment, plus Rs200. It is also I think desirable that such questions as have arisen in this case, should be disposed of when the appointment is made. The result of deferring them is to arouse unfavourable comment on the part of those who are

(28

not cognisant of all the circumstances of the case.

KRW

26/4/04

Grose

79

And at it enquiries was
closed regarding levy of Ex Comm +
D.G. R. duties under of 24th
Febo3

No order has issued.
The issue regarding has been
examined
Submitted
AG
9.6.04

The last order on the subject
of the levy of the Excise Commr Comm Inspector
General of Registration is that dated 24th
Febo3. No further communication was
issued. This may kindly be brought to
the notice of the Dewan who may be
informed that. Have to go to the Press
tomorrow morning Done
9/6

To The Private Secy.

Order

In supersession of previous orders, the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja are pleased to direct that the Officer who for the time being performs the duties of Inspector General of Registration shall receive a consolidated pay, made up of the pay attached to his main appointment plus Rs 200 per mensem -

16/5/04

H.H's order
11.5.04

Asst Secy

To The Comptr, M. S.
The Inspr General of Regn

The order may be printed in the Monthly Volume -

SECTION S. R.

FILE No. 237 of 1902

SERIAL No. 2 1

No. 36-37 / 47 26-97

Bg 6th July 1902

Order:-

Government are pleased to direct that Mr. P. N. Punnaiya, B.A., Excise Commissioner and Inspector General of Registration in Mysore, who has been holding the charge of the combined offices above mentioned from the 17th April 1901, be given a consolidated pay of Rs 14,000/- per mensem.

Consl. Confirmed) 4/7/02

Offg. Secretary

To The Comptroller, Mly.

P. N. Punnaiya Esquire.

SECTION S D
FILE NO. 237 ~~90 of 09~~
SERIAL NO. 2

Read:—

Letter no. 179/2319 dated 9th July 1902 from the Comptroller, Mysore Government, requesting ~~to be info~~ with reference to Govt. Prop. no 36-37 & 26-97 of the 4th July 1902, to be informed of the date from which the consolidated pay of Rs 1400/- sanctioned to Mr. P.N. Purnaiya, for holding ~~the~~ charge of the Combined Offices of the Excise Commr. and Inspector-General of Registration, will have effect ~~whether from~~ 17th April 1901 ~~or from the date of the Govt. order under reference.~~

No 100-101 & 22/7/02
4726-97

Order thereon:—

In the absence of specific orders as

to the date from which the increase is to have effect, it is clear that effect should be given from the date of the order.

Ll 19/7/02

19/7/02

~~For~~ Secretary

To

The Excise Commrs
in Mysore,
" Comptroller, M.G.

27.12.02

No. 3583

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE.

*Bangalore,* 22nd December 1902.

*From*

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq., B.A.B.C.E.

*Comptroller, Mysore Government.*

Rec'd 7182 27 DEC. 1902

*To*

H.V.Nanjundayya, Esq., H.A.M.L.

~~The~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,*

General and Revenue *Dept.*s

Sir,

With reference to Government Pro: No.36-37/ExF26-97 dated 4th July 1902 sanctioning a consolidated pay of Rs.1400/- for the combined duties of the Excise Commissioner and Inspector General of Registration, I have the honor to request to be informed as whether salary for the combined offices is to be debited to the Excise Department only or to the Excise & Registration Departments and if the latter in what proportion the charge is to be debitable to each Department.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd Eagles

Offg. Comptroller.

Gen. 30]

189

*From*

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,
*Comptroller, Mysore Govt.*

*To*

*The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,*
Rev. Dept.

*Dated*

*Despatched* 25-1-03

*Received*

*General No.* 3583

*No.*

*Enclosure No.*

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be informed in what proportion the consolidated pay of Rs 1400 p.m. is to be debited to each of the Excise & Registration Departments

*References* (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Submitted to the Dewan through the Second Commr.

27/12/02

Rev. 27.12.02

This increase was in lieu of the allowance which was formerly drawn by the Comm. & the Inspr of Registration - I would accordingly submit that "of the consolidated pay Rs 1400 Rs 1200 be debited to the Excise Dept & Rs 200 to the Registration Dept" -

6/1/03

Rs 1400

If such a distribution is necessary

1.2.03

SECTION [illegible]

237

FILE [illegible] 7 & 9 of 03

[illegible] 4

SECTION S R

237

FILE NO. 72 a/02

SUB-NO. 5

Read:-

Letter no 3583

of 25/12/02 from the Controller, Mysore

Court requesting to

be informed whether the consolidated pay of Rs 1400

per mensem sanctioned

to Mr. P. N. Ramaiyar

in G.O. no 36-37/E.726

of 4/7/02 is to be debited

to the Excise head only

or to both the Excise

& Mint heads and if

the latter in what

proportion the charge

is debitable to each

department

Order thereon

no 360-02

E.726-97

of 24/2/02

The increase of

pay sanctioned to

Draft submitted

to the 2nd Councillor

with reference to

the Dewan's Remark

Hy

18/2

I agree to the Draft.

H

15/2/03

Should the last words

not be omitted? Govt

has to decide whether

distribution is necessary

Hy

16/2

The 2nd Councillor

Yes if the

Dewan, a Member

of the Committee

proposed it and any

question of

apportionment and

final order to decide it

instead of leaving it to the

Controller.

H

18/2/03.

X-51

18-2-03.

In lieu of the allowance which formerly used to be given to the Dept of Registration. Govt are accordingly pleased to direct that of the Consolidated pay of Rs 1400/-, Rs 1200/- shall be debited to the Police (accts) & Rs 200/- to the Registration accts & if such a distribution is necessary

0176
Memo
worked
out
20.II.03

10/2/03

28/2

Agreed

Rec'd 23.2.03
Tele

To the Comptroller.
Mr. Police Comm.
The D.I.G./Regn

Submitted
Treasr. for the Revenue Order
10/2/03

730.

Office of the Inspector General of
Registration in Mysore.
Dated Bangalore, 3rd March 1903.

From

P.N.Purnaiya Esq,
Inspector General of Registration
in Mysore.

To

H.V.Nanjundayya Esq,.M.A.,M.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings of Government No. 360-62 E F.25-97 dated 24th February 1903, I have the honor to submit for the kind sanction of Government and transmission to the Comptroller for audit the enclosed bill for Rs2912-11-0 being the total allowance due to me under the circumstances referred to in the Proceedings aforesaid, from the 17th april 1901 - the date on which I assumed charge of the office of the Inspector General of Registration, to the 4th July 1902 - the date from which, in lieu of the usual allowance of Rs200 to the Inspector General, I was permitted to draw a consolidated pay of Rs1400 per mensem.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

P.N.Purnaiya

Inspector General of Registration.

OFFICE OF THE
Read 4 MAR 1903
1903
4 - MAR 1903
4697

12103

11

SECTION C C

FILE No. 7704

From P. N. Krishnaiya Esq. B.A.
Inspector General of Registration
in Mysore

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq. M.A. M.L.
Secretary to Government.
General and Revenue Department

Dated 3rd March 1903.

Despatched — do — —

Received

General No. 730
No.

Enclosure No. 1 bill

Submitting a bill for Rs 2912-11-0
being the allowance one year
1st April 1901 to 4th July 1902

Jwl
4.3.03

Reference obtained from the Excise Branch and sent in.

MGCPB—3-3-01—50 forms.

5/3/03

Reg G in
Encl Rs 26

SECTION S R
FILE NO. 237 of 02
[illegible] No. 7

Read again

Govt order no 36-37
Ext. 2697
of the 4th Jany 1902
directing that Mr P. H.
Burnaby, Excise Commr
and Insp[r] General of
Registration in Mysore
be given a consolidated
pay of Rs 1400 per mensem.

Reg C in extn

Govt order no 360-62
Ext. 26-97
of the 24th Feby 1903, declaring
that the increase of pay sanctioned
in the above Order was in
lieu of the allowances formerly
given to the D. S. & Insp[r], &
directing that of the consolidated
pay of Rs 1400, Rs 1200 be debited
to the Excise dept, & Rs 200 to the
Registration dept

Read

Letter no 730 of the 3d March
1903, from the D. of Registration in Mysore,
submitting for sanction
a bill for Rs 2912-11-0 on
account of arrears of
allowance due
from the 18th April 1901, the
date on which he assumed
charge of the office of Insp[r] General
of Regn, to the 4th Jany 1902,
the date from which
he was granted a consolidated pay
of Rs 1400 per mensem.

Reg C in
Extn

[illegible]

11/5

Order thereon

13/8

Rec. H. 3084-5-
Enl bill.

As Excise Comr & Dep Secy of Legn, Mr Purnaiya drew a pay of Rs 120 per mensem from the 17th April 1901 to the 4th July 1902, on which latter date an order was passed fixing his pay at Rs 1400. He now requests that the difference of pay, Rs 200 per mensem may be sanctioned for the period in question, & submits a bill for the amount due.

Dy 12/5/04
12

I am pleased to sanction the payment of the arrears in question, & to forward the bill to the Comptroller for audit.

2. The D. S. of Legn is requested to submit a re-appropriation Statement for the Comptroller, recording for the charge sanctioned above.

KMSadler
11.5.04

11/5/04
WS Lee

To The Comptr & Aud Genl
The D of Legn & Agri Madras

To be returned for further Orders